

# Signature equation suitable for message recovery schemes

Atsuko Miyaji

## 1. Introduction

Nyberg and Rueppel recently proposed a new ElGamal-type digital signature scheme with message recovery feature and its six variant schemes ([3]). For these schemes, six forgeries are presented ([3, 1, 2]). The author showed all six schemes are vulnerable to a kind of forgery of them ([1]). In this paper, we investigate a new signature equation suitable for message recovery scheme, which is strong against the forgery.

## 2. Message recovery signatures

This section summarizes Nyberg-Rueppel's message recovery signatures. In the signature schemes, the trusted authority chooses system parameters, that are a large prime  $p$ , a large integer factor  $q$  of  $p-1$  and an element  $g \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$  whose order is  $q$ . These system parameters are known to all users. The signer Alice has a secret key  $x_A$  and publishes its corresponding public key  $y_A = g^{x_A}$ . To sign a message  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ , she chooses a random number  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ , and computes  $r_1 = g^k \pmod{p}$ ,  $r_2 = mr_1^{-1} \pmod{p}$  and  $r'_2 = r_2 \pmod{q}$ , and solves  $s$  from  $ak \equiv b + cx_A \pmod{q}$ , where  $(a, b, c)$  is a permutation of  $(\pm 1, \pm r'_2, \pm s)$ . There are six signature-equations. Then the signature is given by  $(r_2, s)$ . The message can be recovered by computing a recovery equation  $m = g^{b/a}y_A^{c/a}r_2 \pmod{p}$  with Alice's public key  $y_A$ . An optimal one of the six schemes is as follows, which does not need inverses both in the signature generation and verification.

*Optimal scheme:*  $k \equiv s + r_2^0 x_A \pmod{q}$

## 3. Suitable signature equation

First we show a forgery against the optimal scheme ([3]). Assume that a signature  $(r_2, s)$  of a message  $m$  is given. Then it is possible to forge a signature  $(\tilde{r}_2, \tilde{s})$  of a message  $\tilde{m}$  without the knowledge of the secret key: the forger sets  $\tilde{r}_1 = (mr_2^{-1})g^{-1} = r_1g^{-1} = g^{k-1} \pmod{p}$ ,  $\tilde{m} = mg^{-1} \pmod{p}$ ,  $\tilde{r}_2 = r_2$ , and  $\tilde{s} = s - 1$ . We see that  $(\tilde{r}_2, \tilde{s})$  is a valid signature of  $\tilde{m}$  since  $g^{\tilde{s}}y_A^{\tilde{r}_2^0}\tilde{r}_2 = g^{s-1}y_A^{r_2^0}r_2 = mg^{-1} = \tilde{m} \pmod{p}$ . Since the forger can also generate another valid signature using  $\tilde{r}_1 = r_1y_A^{-1}$  in the same way as the above, all the six schemes are vulnerable to this type of forgery ([1]). This forgery uses a fea-

ture that anyone can compute a new commitment  $\tilde{r}_1 = r_1/g = g^{k-1}$  or  $\tilde{r}_1 = r_1/y_A = g^{k-x_A}$ , which he knows the discrete logarithm is equal to the value subtracted by 1 or  $x_A$  from the original discrete logarithm of  $r_1$ . Therefore he can find  $(\tilde{m}, \tilde{r}_2, \tilde{s})$  satisfying the signature equation by converting signature-equation for the original  $r_2, s$  and  $k$  to that for the new  $\tilde{r}_2, \tilde{s}$  and  $k - x_A$  or  $k - 1$ .

We propose a new signature equation

*Proposed scheme:*  $r_2^0 k \equiv (r_2^0 + s + 1) + sx_A \pmod{q}$

which avoids the above type of forgery. Let us apply the above forgery to the proposed scheme. In the case of  $\tilde{r}_1 = g^{k-1}$  the forger must find  $(\tilde{r}_2, \tilde{s})$  that satisfy  $(r_2^0, s + 1, s) = (\tilde{r}_2^0, \tilde{r}_2^0 + \tilde{s} + 1, \tilde{s})$ . In the case of  $\tilde{r}_1 = g^{k-x_A}$  the forger must find  $(\tilde{r}_2, \tilde{s})$  that satisfy  $(r_2^0, r_2^0 + s + 1, s - r_2^0) = (\tilde{r}_2^0, \tilde{r}_2^0 + \tilde{s} + 1, \tilde{s})$ . Therefore both cases succeed only in the case of  $\tilde{r}_2^0 = r_2^0 = 0$  and  $\tilde{s} = s$ . So we can easily avoid the forgery by excepting such a trivial case: restricting  $r_2^0 \in \mathbb{Z}_q$  to  $\mathbb{Z}_q - \{0\}$ . Furthermore the proposed scheme does not need inversions in the signature generation by precomputing  $\frac{1}{x_A+1}$ . Only the signature verification needs one inversion. Clearly the computation amount added to the optimal scheme is negligible.

## 4. Conclusion

We have shown a signature equation suitable for message recovery schemes. This signature equation can avoid a type of forgery by adding a negligible computation amount to the original scheme. We have concluded that the DLP-based message recovery signature can be strengthened by changing the signature equation.

## References

- [1] A. Miyaji, "Weakness in message recovery signature schemes based on discrete logarithm problems 1", *IEICE Japan Tech. Rep.*, ISEC95-7, 1995.
- [2] A. Miyaji, "Weakness in message recovery signature schemes based on discrete logarithm problems 2", *IEICE Japan Tech. Rep.*, ISEC95-12, 1995.
- [3] K. Nyberg and R. A. Rueppel "A new signature scheme based on the DSA giving message recovery", *Proceedings of 1st ACM Conference on Computer and Communications Security*, 1993.